TEST OF RELEVANCE: EQUALITY ANALYSIS (EA)



City Cluster Vision | Well-Being & Climate Change Resilience Programme: Jubilee Gardens Improvements

Introduction

The Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED) is set out in the Equality Act 2010 (s.149). This requires public authorities, in the exercise of their functions, to have 'due regard' to the need to:

- Eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation
- Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not, and
- Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not

The characteristics protected by the Equality Act 2010 are:

- Age
- Disability
- Gender reassignment
- Marriage and civil partnership.
- Pregnancy and maternity
- Race
- Religion or belief
- Sex (gender)
- Sexual orientation

What is due regard?

- It involves considering the aims of the duty in a way that is proportionate to the issue at hand
- Ensuring that real consideration is given to the aims and the impact of policies with rigor and with an open mind in such a way that it influences the final decision
- Due regard should be given before and during policy formation and when a decision is taken including cross cutting ones as the impact can be cumulative.

The general equality duty does not specify how public authorities should analyse the effect of their business activities on different groups of people. However, case law has established that equality analysis is an important way public authorities can demonstrate that they are meeting the requirements.

Even in cases where it is considered that there are no implications of proposed policy and decision making on the PSED it is good practice to record the reasons why and to include these in reports to committees where decisions are being taken.

It is also good practice to consider the duty in relation to current policies, services and procedures, even if there is no plan to change them.

How to demonstrate compliance

Case law has established the following principles apply to the PSED:

- **Knowledge** the need to be aware of the requirements of the Equality Duty with a conscious approach and state of mind.
- Sufficient Information must be made available to the decision maker
- **Timeliness** the Duty must be complied with before and at the time that a particular policy is under consideration or decision is taken not after it has been taken.
- Real consideration consideration must form an integral part of the decision-making process. It is not a matter of box-ticking; it must be exercised in substance, with rigor and with an open mind in such a way that it influences the final decision.
- Sufficient information the decision maker must consider what information he or she has and what further information may be needed in order to give proper consideration to the Equality Duty
- No delegation public bodies are responsible for ensuring that any third parties
 which exercise functions on their behalf are capable of complying with the
 Equality Duty, are required to comply with it, and that they do so in practice. It is a
 duty that cannot be delegated.

• **Review** – the duty is continuing applying when a policy is developed and decided upon, but also when it is implemented and reviewed.

However there is no requirement to:

- Produce equality analysis or an equality impact assessment
- Indiscriminately collect diversity date where equalities issues are not significant
- Publish lengthy documents to show compliance
- Treat everyone the same. Rather, it requires public bodies to think about people's different needs and how these can be met
- Make services homogeneous or to try to remove or ignore differences between people.

The key points about demonstrating compliance with the duty are to:

- Collate sufficient evidence to determine whether changes being considered will have a potential impact on different groups
- Ensure decision makers are aware of the analysis that has been undertaken and what conclusions have been reached on the possible implications
- Keep adequate records of the full decision making process

Test of Relevance screening

The Test of Relevance screening is a short exercise that involves looking at the overall proposal and deciding if it is relevant to the PSED.

Note: If the proposal is of a significant nature and it is apparent from the outset that a full equality analysis will be required, then it is not necessary to complete the Test of Relevance screening template and the full equality analysis and be completed.

The questions in the Test of Relevance Screening Template to help decide if the proposal is equality relevant and whether a detailed equality analysis is required. The key question is whether the proposal is likely to be relevant to any of the protected characteristics.

Quite often, the answer may not be so obvious and service-user or provider information will need to be considered to make a preliminary judgment. For example, in considering licensing arrangements, the location of the premises in question and the demographics of the area could affect whether section 149 considerations come into play.

There is no one size fits all approach but the screening process is designed to help fully consider the circumstances.

What to do

In general, the following questions all feed into whether an equality analysis is required:

- How many people is the proposal likely to affect?
- How significant is its impact?
- Does it relate to an area where there are known inequalities?

At this initial screening stage, the point is to try to assess obvious negative or positive impact.

On completion of the Test of Relevance screening, officers should:

- Ensure they have fully completed and the Director has signed off the Test of Relevance Screening Template.
- Store the screening template safely so that it can be retrieved if for example, Members request to see it, or there is a freedom of information request or there is a legal challenge.

If a negative/adverse impact has been identified (actual or potential) during completion of the screening tool, a full equality analysis must be undertaken.

If no negative / adverse impacts arising from the proposal it is not necessary to undertake a full equality analysis.

 If the outcome of the Test of Relevance Screening identifies no or minimal impact refer to it in the Implications section of the report and include reference to it in Background Papers when reporting to Committee or other decision making process.

1. Proposal / Project Title: City Cluster Vision | Well-Being & Climate Change Resilience Programme: Jubilee Gardens Improvements 2. Brief summary (include main aims, proposed outcomes, recommendations / decisions sought): The project involves the relandscaping of the existing Jubilee Gardens to create a more useable, pleasant, greener and more resilient space. It is being developed in close collaboration with local stakeholders and the City Gardens team. The new design seeks to rejuvenate it as an exemplary, inviting garden, showcasing the City of London's priorities of: accessibility, biodiversity and habitat provision, circular economy principles and material reuse, climate resilient planting, equality and inclusion by design. 3. Considering the equality aims (eliminate unlawful discrimination; advance equality of opportunity; foster good relations), indicate for each protected group whether there may be a positive impact, negative (adverse) impact or no impact arising from the proposal: Negative Briefly explain your answer. Consider evidence, data and any consultation. **Positive** No Protected Characteristic (Equality Group) Impact Impact **Impact** XIndividuals from specific age-group are not impacted. Age X There is currently only one access point to/from the garden this will be increased to Disability at least two. The new design improves accessibility by ensuring there is sufficient clearance of 2m between paths within the garden space. Seating will provide opportunities to dwell with back and arm rests. Gender Reassignment \boxtimes Individuals of gender reassignment are not impacted Marriage and Civil Partnership \boxtimes Marriage or Civil Partnerships are not impacted XThere will be sufficient clearance of 2m between paths within the garden space. Pregnancy and Maternity Seating will also provide opportunities to dwell with back and arm rests. \times Individuals from different racial backgrounds are not impacted Race Religion or Belief \boxtimes Individuals with specific religious/beliefs are not impacted Sex (i.e. gender) Individuals of all genders are not impacted \boxtimes \boxtimes Individuals with specific sexual orientation are not impacted **Sexual Orientation**

4.	There are no negative/adverse impact(s) Please briefly explain and provide evidence to support this decision:	Jubilee Gardens is an existing garden space that is gated and locked at night. The project will improve the design and visibility of the garden in line with the City's Climate Change and Well-being objectives.					
5.	Are there positive impacts of the proposal on any equality groups? Please briefly explain how these are in line with the equality aims:			new garden design represents a net b de some protected groups such as, th	•	•	
6.	As a result of this screening, is a full EA necessary? (Please check appropriate box using	Yes	No	Briefly explain your answer: Jubilee Gardens is an existing garden space that is to be improved by increasing green coverage with climate resilient low maintenance SuDs planting. The new design will provide as much need amenity in an area with low green coverage and biodiversity.			
			\boxtimes				
7. Name of Lead Officer: Emmanuel Ojugo			Job title: Project Manager		Date of completion: 09 December 2020		
Si	gned by Service Director:			Name:		Date:	